

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/616,238	07/08/2003	Frederic Droin	979-027	2271
75	90 01/04/2005		EXAMINER	
SOFER & HAROUN, L.L.P.			PATEL, HARSHAD R	
Suite 910 317 Madison A	venue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10017			2855	
			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	10/616,238	DROIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Harshad Patel	2855	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 No.	ovember 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	·		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		Tuaminas	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceedable and any objection to the company of the drawing is/are: a) acceedable and acceedable acceedable and acceedable acceedable and acceedable a			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/616,238 Page 2

Art Unit: 2855

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art or Munck (5,576,489) in view of Bradley (1,999,684).

The admitted prior art or Munck teaches all the features of the instant invention including the vertical groove extending along the lateral wall of the cylinder (4) in the vicinity of and tangential to the partition except for the lateral wall including a vertical cavity at least partly within the height of the wall. Bradley teaches a cavity (not numbered) (Figs. 1, 3-5) on the lateral wall in the vicinity of the partition (16). It would have been obvious to a skilled artisan at the time the invention was made to provide a cavity within the wall of the meter such that a vacuum generation is prevented between the piston wall and the lateral wall. As to positioning the cavity on either the inlet or the outlet side since such arrangement would make no different other than simply avoiding the vacuum generation since the piston would be oscillating from the inlet side to the outlet side.

As to the cavity/slot having a width of less than or equal to 3 mm, it would be within the scope a skilled individual to form the width such that the dirt particles do not get stuck in the cavity.

As to the width of the vertical groove being of less than or equal to 2 mm would have been within the scope of a skilled artisan so that fluid is not staggered within the groove.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,238 Page 3

Art Unit: 2855

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 11/24/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the vertical groove is not provided in the cited references. Applicant's attention is directed to the Munck's reference where a groove is provided at the lower portion of the piston in figs. 4 and 5 with various different shapes to collect the dirt particles. Bradley teaches a vertical groove in the vicinity of the partition wall and one on the outer surface of the ring (15) that is not numbered. One having ordinary skill in the art would know that such grooves would help collect the particles thus preventing the piston from jamming during the operation.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2855

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harshad Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-2187. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7:00 AM-5:30 PM).

Harshad Patel

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2855

hp

December 28, 2004